

## Questions AGM 2022

1. What was the composition of the total Chartered membership at 2020-21 year end when banded by age groups?

AGE DISTRIBUTION	number	percentage
Under 30(1)	203	2.23
30-39(1)	1234	13.58
40-49(1)	1693	18.63
50-59(1)	2081	22.9
60-69(1)	1545	17
Over 70(1)	2330	25.64
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9086</b>	<b>100</b>

2. How many applications for upgrade / election / re-election did UKRIAT Division's Membership Committee reject during 2020-21? **Membership Committee rejected seven Fellowship applications as the candidates did not have the required experience to become Fellows.**
3. How many disciplinary cases did UKRIAT Division's Membership Committee (or other relevant committee) hear during 2020-21?  
**During the period 2020-21, the CGIUKI Investigation Group didn't deal with any disciplinary cases. This is unusual as we would normally receive between 2-3 complaints each year.**
4. Two persons who attended meetings of UKRIAT Division's Qualifications Committee during the year are described in the annual report's Governance review as "(observer/adviser)". **Given the technical nature of the Qualifications Committee, it requires expertise from specific areas. These two advisers are invited to attend Qualification Committee meeting and are drawn from Institutions with whom we work. They are not considered members of the Committee and they do count for the quorum.**
5. What does the CGIUKI Board consider the purpose of 'Governance and Compliance' magazine to be, and the level of success in fulfilling such purpose? **The purpose of the Institute's journal is to provide a valuable member benefit through the breadth of articles across the governance community. It won't satisfy everyone all the time, but it is the UK's leading governance magazine. Our editor welcomes feedback and that received recently has been consistently positive. In that sense we consider that it is being successful in fulfilling its purpose, but we have a new editor, Holly, who I know will be keen to receive any further feedback.**
6. Is there any evidence that ProShare has a higher profile now than prior to its acquisition by the Institute? **Yes, in addition to the anecdotal reaction from members, ProShare has increased access to Government, regulators and politicians. Some highlights include the 27 meetings with MPs and peers and the 19 parliamentary questions tabled and answered by**

government. Five peers and MPs have written on our behalf to the Chancellor on our case for reform and we have an ongoing written dialogue with Treasury via the Financial Secretary in the department.

7. As the number of Chartered members (which includes retired members) continued its multi-year fall during 2020-21, what level of confidence is there that the increased number of students during the same period will eventually translate into Chartered members, given the levels of attrition discussed at past AGMs and consultation meetings? Much of the work currently underway is intended to address that issue. That includes a review and refresh of the member value proposition, the work on L&D – particularly on how the principle of lifelong learning informs how learning is delivered and supported for learners at all stages of their career – and work last year on the value of the governance professional.
8. You state that *“University outreach has been high on the agenda”* - can you give some indication of the split of activity between Russell Group and non-Russell Group institutions during 2020-21? During 2020/21 we engaged with 23 out of 24 Russell Group universities by speaking with lecturers, employability and careers officers. The interest level has improved somewhat from the previous years and we were able to hold bespoke virtual events at Oxford, Warwick, and Cambridge. We did however attend less careers events overall having test driven the various options and event platforms the previous year and found the virtual open forum events to not be so successful, and so focused on carefully curated educational, and hopefully inspiring, solus events which the universities themselves promoted to their students. We also for the third time ran a lecture for QMU for 65 students which consisted of an insight into governance and a member discussion on ESG, diversity and the value of a company secretary, which was again very well received.

We remain with the thinking that it is more prudent to focus our efforts on those universities that are proactive, open and forward thinking in their approach to support and educate their students in their employability prospects and where Law degrees in particular are involved those universities that are addressing the reality of the No of training contracts v No of law graduates issue, plus the fact that not every law student wishes to practice law as a lawyer or barrister.

We would like to thank those members who continue to support the Institute by offering their time to support our activities by means of offering to speak on virtual panels and hosting 121 calls with those students who show most interest (this is still in place replacing work experience).